

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/500,502	02/22/2005	Franciscus Leonardus Gerardus Vries	NL 020012	4392
24737	7590 10/16/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			GROUP, KARL E	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1755	
			DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/500,502	VRIES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karl E. Group	1755
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinude and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Section 14 Section 15 FINAL. This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	ate
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application

Art Unit: 1755

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Scott et al (6,639,362), for reasons of record.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tiedt et al (5,625,256) further in view of Scott et al (6,639,362), for reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 9-14-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argument that the instant claims limit MgO to 1000 ppm while Scott et al teach up to 1500ppm of MgO is not persuasive in overcoming the rejection because up to 1500 ppm encompasses 50 to 1000 ppm. Although Scott et al teach a broader range the range taught clearly encompasses the claimed range. Less than 1/3 of the range taught by Scott et al is outside the claimed range making the claimed range at least obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art if not anticipated.

It is further argued that Scott et al teach ZrO₂ as an optional component while the instant claims require 50-600 ppm. This is not persuasive in overcoming the rejection because Scott et al clearly teach the preferred composition includes at least 100 ppm ZrO₂, see column 4, lines 42-43.

Application/Control Number: 10/500.502

Art Unit: 1755

It is agreed that Scott et al fail to teach erbium, holmium and thulium however the instant claims are not limited to compounds of these elements. The instant claims also allow for dysprosium, which is taught by Scott et al.

It is agreed that the compositional ranges taught by Tiedt et al and Scott et al are not identical however there is substantial overlap in the ranges making the references combinable. Again the claims are not limited to Er, Ho and Tl. Not every member in a Markush group needs to be found to meet the limitations of the claims.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl E. Group whose telephone number is 571-272-1368. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday Off.

Art Unit: 1755

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Karl E G**l∕ø**up Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

Keg 10-6-06